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#### Abstract

This paper expands upon the seminal work of Roberts and House，which described the first empirical study of the demographic profile of a research administrator．The original work was based upon data from the 2005 Research Administrator Survey（RAS），a regional study of research administrators in the southeastern United States．In this paper，nationwide demographic data from the 2010 Research Administrators Stress Perception Survey（RASPerS）are compared to the 2005 RAS data．These comparisons revealed that the general profile of a research administrator continues to be overwhelmingly female（ $80.1 \%$ ），holding a higher education degree（ $88.7 \%$ ），and aged $40-49$ years （ $31.9 \%$ ）．The 2010 data showed an extremely significant difference in the modal salary level，which increased from $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 74,999$（ $40.0 \%$ ）．In 2005，the increase was from $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 50,000$（ $23 \%$ ）． Level of education was slightly higher in 2010 than in 2005，with more research administrators holding both bachelor＇s and master＇s degrees．Additional demographic and social data are described from the 2010 RASPerS．These include both work and non－work factors．These data are offered to provide information that may be useful for others with an interest in expanding the body of knowledge about the profession of research administration．


## Introduction

While a body of information is constantly growing pertaining to what
research administrators do，or are supposed to do，little has been done to date to describe who research administrators are as
a profession. As pointed out by Beasley (2006), this emerging profession really came into being in the1940s after Vannevar Bush persuaded President Franklin Delano Roosevelt to create an agency that would coordinate collaboration between federal and civilian laboratories. Hanson and Moreland (2004) reflected upon the conundrum research administrators face in their constant balancing between the sometimes competing demands of sponsoring agencies and over-worked academic researchers. Research administrators must assume many roles, perform both complex and mundane functions, and act as a liaison with both internal and external parties. It takes a multi-talented and mission-dedicated individual to thrive or succeed in the profession. And, as shown in the 2007 RASPerS (Shambrook \& Brawman-Mintzer, 2007), research administrators perceive this work to often be done in a stressful environment with little recognition from their non-administrative colleagues to whom they are providing a service.
> "Research administrators must assume many roles, perform both complex and mundane functions, and act as a liaison with both internal and external parties. It takes a multi-talented and mission-dedicated individual to thrive or succeed in the profession."

Who are the people who make up this profession? Prior to the 2006 publication by Roberts and House, solid empirical demographic data did not exist for research administrators. In this paper, we update this seminal work using more recent national, rather than regional, data. Comparisons are made that both serve to validate the original work and reveal some differences that indicate professional trends. Finally, additional demographic factors have been added that provide baseline data for additional studies that may seek to expand the body of knowledge about this emerging profession.

## Метно⿱亠

Both the RAS (Roberts, 2005) and 2010 RASPerS (Shambrook, 2010) recruited participants from a closed population of research administrators who were members of the National Council of Research Administrators (NCURA). The RAS recruited solely from NCURA Region III, which is comprised of eleven Southeastern states and the Territory of Puerto Rico. Through the selection and randomization process described in Roberts and House (2006), there were 277 potential study participants for the electronic survey. The usable return rate was $83 \%$, with 226 total survey participants. Thus, with a confidence level of $99 \%$, the confidence interval was 3.69.

The 2010 RASPerS (Shambrook, 2010) modeled several demographic factors after the 2005 RAS in order to make valid comparisons, but expanded recruitment to include the entire nationwide membership
of NCURA. Expanding the catchment area for recruitment was a recommendation for Roberts and House (2006). The 2010 RASPerS also sought to make comparisons with Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS) data from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Therefore, some factors were somewhat adjusted in the survey (e.g., salary ranges) and others were added (e.g., ethnic heritage and marital status). It was the intent of the 2010 RASPerS questionnaire to generate data that could be compared with data from both previous surveys (RAS and BRFSS). The National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH, n.d.) Non-Work Factors Scale from the NIOSH Generic Job Stress Questionnaire was used to collect information about other commitments (e.g., eldercare or pursuing another academic degree).

The composite 2010 RASPerS questionnaire consists of 12 components which include demographic data, non-work activities, three instruments for health behaviors, and seven stress-related instruments. These are preceded by an introduction, participant rights statement, and statement of consent.

The data collection process began with an email to the entire membership of NCURA with a link to the 2010 RASPerS electronic survey. The total population of the NCURA membership was 6,232 at the time of the survey in February 2010. A total of 1,188 participants took portions of the
future study in the 2006 RAS article
survey. As comparisons were being drawn between multiple factors of the survey, the $N$ varied among the 12 survey instruments which were combined to make up the composite survey. However, for a $99 \%$ confidence level with a confidence interval of 4.0 , only 891 responses were needed and over 1,000 responses were collected for each of the instruments, generating a $99 \%$ level of confidence and confidence intervals of less than 4.0 for each instrument.

Approved protocols for human participant protections were in place by the appropriate Institutional Review Boards for the RAS at the University of Central Florida and 2010 RASPerS at Walden University. Data were analyzed using both descriptive and inferential techniques. Frequencies and percentages are shown for all factors. Fisher's Exact Test was used in comparing two factors and Cochran-Armitage Trend Test was used for multiple factors to determine statistical level of significance.

## Results

## Work-related Factors

Work-related data gathered by the 2010 RASPerS included primary research administration role, number of years in research administration, certified research administrator status, annual salary, usual number of hours worked per week, and health insurance status. These data are shown at Table 1.

Table 1. Work-related Factors as Shown in 2010 RASPerS


As shown in Table 1, there is a broad distribution across research administrator roles with fairly even distribution between department administrators (30.3\%) and preaward administrators ( $29.6 \%$ ); post-award accounting ( $18.1 \%$ ) and all other ( $18.8 \%$ ); about $3.1 \%$ were working in research integrity or compliance roles.

The mode for number of years in research administration was $10<20$ years (30.7\%). The percentage with $5<10$ years ( $26.5 \%$ ) and $1<5$ years ( $25.1 \%$ ) were very similar to one another. Only $2.3 \%$ had less than 1 year of experience. There were $15.3 \%$ with 20 or more years of experience as research administrators. Health insurance was held by $99.5 \%$ of the participants. Only $14.1 \%$ indicated that they held credentials as Certified Research Administrators. The mode annual salary was $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 74,999$. Less than $3 \%$ earned salaries of less than $\$ 35,000$. A total of $17.8 \%$
reported salaries of over $\$ 100,000$. This is comparable to data reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), U.S. Department of Labor, which shows the median annual income for all professionals at $\$ 59,748$ and for all full-time employees with a bachelor's degree or higher at \$60,216 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2010).

The mode for hours usually worked per week was from 40 to 45 hours ( $28.9 \%$ ). This was followed closely ( $26.1 \%$ ) by those working $45<50$ hours per week. A total of $16.8 \%$ reported routinely working from $50<$ 60 hours per week and $3.7 \%$ reported working 60 or more hours per week.

## Social Demographic Factors

Social demographic information gathered by the 2010 RASPerS included gender, age, race/ethnic group, marital status, and highest level of educational achievement. These data are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Social Demographic Factors as Shown by 2010 RASPerS

| Social Demographic Factors |  | Frequency | \% Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| - Female |  | 915 | 80.1\% |
| - Male |  | 228 | 19.9\% |
|  | Total $N$ | 1,143 | 100\% |
| Age |  |  |  |
| - <30 |  | 87 | 7.6\% |
| - 30-39 |  | 266 | 23.2\% |
| - 40-49 |  | 365 | 31.9\% |
| - 50-59 |  | 331 | 28.9\% |
| - $\geq 60$ |  | 96 | 8.4\% |
|  | Total $N$ | 1,138 | 100\% |
| Race/Ethnic Group |  |  |  |
| - Non-Hispanic White |  | 954 | 83.4\% |
| - Hispanic |  | 56 | 4.9\% |
| - African-American |  | 72 | 6.3\% |
| - Asian |  | 41 | 3.6\% |
| - Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  | 3 | 0.3\% |
| - Native American |  | 10 | 0.9\% |
| - Prefer not to answer |  | 24 | 2.1\% |
|  | Total $N$ | 1,144 | 100\% |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |
| - Married |  | 745 | 65.5\% |
| - Partnered |  | 60 | 5.3\% |
| - Separated |  | 12 | 1.1\% |
| - Divorced |  | 141 | 12.4\% |
| - Widowed |  | 13 | 1.1\% |
| - Never married |  | 167 | 14.7\% |
|  | Total $N$ | 1,138 | 100\% |
| Highest Level of Educational Achievement |  |  |  |
| - High school or GED |  | 7 | 0.6\% |
| - Some college credit |  | 90 | 7.9\% |
| - Associate's degree |  | 31 | 2.7\% |
| - Bachelor's degree |  | 475 | 41.4\% |
| - Master's degree |  | 428 | 37.3\% |
| - Doctoral degree |  | 115 | 10.0\% |
|  | Total $N$ | 1,146 | 100\% |

RASPerS 2010 national data show that research administration is a profession that is $80.1 \%$ female. The modal age group was $40-49$ years, at $31.9 \%$, closely followed by $50-59$ years at $28.9 \%$. Only $30.8 \%$ were under 40 years of age. Over $70 \%$ of research administrators reported being either married (65.5\%) or partnered (5.3\%). A total of $1.1 \%$ reported being widowed; $14.7 \%$ reported having never been married; and only $13.5 \%$ were either separated ( $1.1 \%$ ) or divorced ( $12.4 \%$ ). These data reflect a similar distribution to that reported by the Pew Charitable Trusts (PEW), with 64\% of college-educated adults being married (Pew Charitable Trusts, 2010).

Research administrators overwhelmingly reported educational achievement of bachelor's degree or higher at $88.7 \%$. Of the $11.3 \%$ without a higher degree, $10.7 \%$ reported having either some college (7.9\%) or an associate's degree
(2.7\%). Less than one percent ( $0.6 \%$ ) reported only having a high school education or GED, or only seven out of 1,146 participants. Master's degrees were held by $37.3 \%$ and doctoral degrees were held by $10 \%$.

## NIOSH Non-Work Factors

Additional non-work demographic factors were measured in the 2010 RASPerS which were considered as possible contributing factors to overall stress (NIOSH, n.d.). These factors are offered here to further describe the demographic make-up of research administrators shown in Table 3. These factors include participant reporting of an additional job; children in the home; primary responsibility for childcare duties, house-cleaning duties, or care for an elderly or disabled person; current enrollment in courses for a degree; and/or a high level of time commitment to volunteer work.

Table 3. NIOSH Non-Work Factors as Shown by 2010 RASPerS


Participants reporting having extensive non-family related commitments included $14.3 \%$ having an additional job and $14.3 \%$ being currently enrolled in courses leading to a degree. A higher percentage, $26.9 \%$, reported devoting at least 5-10 hours each week to volunteer work in addition to their research administration jobs.

Children living in the home were reported by $40.9 \%$ of the participants, but only $26.2 \%$ reported having primary responsibility for childcare duties. Primary responsibility for care of an elderly or disabled person was reported by $10.1 \%$. Participants overwhelmingly reported having primary responsibility for housecleaning duties at $74.2 \%$.
Comparisons of 2005 RAS and 2010 RASPerS

Table 4 shows a comparison between the regional 2005 RAS and the national 2010 RASPerS. The purpose of this analysis was
to determine the validity of the 2005 RAS regional data by comparison with the 2010 RASPerS national data. A $p$-value equal to or less than 0.05 indicates a significant difference between data sets. As shown in Table 4, no significant difference is shown among gender, age, or education when comparing the results of the two data sets. There is a weak (non-significant) difference in education, which indicates that a trend may be developing toward higher educational attainment. The only significant difference shown was in the area of salaries, which were significantly higher in 2010 than in 2005. In 2005, only six out of ten participants reported having annual earnings of greater than \$50,000; in 2010, eight out of ten reported having earnings greater than \$50,000 per year. This indicates an extremely significant difference between annual incomes reported for 2005 and 2010.

Table 4. Comparison of Selected Demographic Factors from 2005 RAS and 2010 RASPerS

| Demographic Factor | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \text { RAS } \\ N(\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { RASPerS } \\ N(\%) \end{gathered}$ | Significance $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| - Female | 172 (76\%) | 915 (80\%) | $0.18{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| - Male | 54 (24\%) | 228 (20\%) |  |
| Totals | 226 (100\%) | 1,143 (100\%) |  |
| Age |  |  |  |
| - <30 | 7 (3\%) | 87 (7.6\%) | $0.47{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| - 30-39 | 55 (24\%) | 266 (23.2\%) |  |
| - 40-49 | 82 (36\%) | 365 (31.9\%) |  |
| - 50-59 | 66 (29\%) | 331 (28.9\%) |  |
| - $\geq 60$ | 16 (8\%) | 96 (8.4\%) |  |
| Totals | 226 (100\%) | 1,145 (100\%) |  |
| Highest Level of Educational Achievement |  |  |  |
| - High school or GED | 5 (2\%) | 7 (0.6\%) | 0.057b |
| - Some college credit | 29 (13\%) | 90 (7.9\%) |  |
| - Associate's degree | 3 (1\%) | 31 (2.7\%) |  |
| - Bachelor's degree | 89 (40\%) | 475 (41.4\%) |  |
| - Master's degree | 73 (32\%) | 428 (37.3\%) |  |
| - Doctoral degree | 27 (12\%) | 115 (10.0\%) |  |
| Totals | 226 (100\%) | 1,146 (100\%) |  |
| Salary as Research Administrator |  |  |  |
| - <\$50,000/year | 86 (39\%) | 234 (21\%) | $<0.0001^{\text {a }}$ |
| - $\geq$ \$50,000/year | 136 (61\%) | 954 (79\%) |  |
| Totals | 222 (100\%) | 1,138 (100\%) |  |

a: Fisher's Exact Test $p$-values
b: Cochran-Armitage Trend Test $p$-value
Notes:

- Gender and Age distribution does not seem to change from 2005 to 2010.
- There seems to be some evidence of an overall trend of having higher level of education in 2010 compared to 2005, while this finding is not strong.
- There is a significant change from 2005 to 2010 in salary, where every 8 out 10 people have salaries above $\$ 50,000$ in 2010 while only 6 out of 10 did so in 2005.


## Conclusion

The regional 2005 RAS data are supported and validated by the national 2010 RASPerS with respect to age, gender, and education. There is an extremely significant difference in income. This difference may be attributed, in part, to an overall $13.5 \%$ rise in national median annual income for all wage and salary earners in the U.S. (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2010).

Research administrators may be described as a nearly homogenous group who are overwhelmingly university degreed (88.7\%), female (80.1\%), earning an annual income of over $\$ 50,000$ ( $79.4 \%$ ), with a majority over 40 years of age ( $69.2 \%$ ).

Other demographic factors show research administrators to be either married ( $65.5 \%$ ) or partnered (5.3\%), having more than five years of experience in research administration ( $72.6 \%$ ), and working more than 40 hours per week ( $75.5 \%$ ). Research administrators overwhelmingly have health insurance coverage ( $99.5 \%$ ).

## Recommendations for further STUDY

The demographic profile of research administrators may be used as foundational information in the further study of this or similar occupational groups. Further study is recommended of possible associations among salary, gender, ethnicity, and other demographic factors.
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